

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/974,654	10/10/2001	David Matzinger	LIFE-045	9928
7.	590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Carol M. LaSalle			MALLARI, PATRICIA C	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS, LLP Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 Middlefield Road			3736	
Menlo Park, CA 94025			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/974,654		MATZINGER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patricia C. Mallari	3736		

THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ıed
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	n no
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	under orth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyin issues for appeal; and/or	g the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amended canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because:	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other: ROBERT L. NASSER	
PRIMARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of step (a) in claim 65, "contacting a potentially suitable site with a device configured to determine the type of physiological fluid at said potentially suitable site" raises new issuse that have not been previously considered and which would require further consideration and search.